

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address © MMISSI ONEIC OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09 611,846	C	07/07/2000	Hiroyasu Inoue	1324.64496	6936	
24978	7590	05.07/2002				
GREER, B	URNS &	CRAIN	EXAMINER			
300 S WACKER DR 25TH FLOOR				DUONG, THOI V		
CHICAGO, IL 60606			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
				2871		
				DATE MAILED: 05/07/2002	DATE MAILED: 05/07/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	•	09/611,846	INOUE ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Thoi V Duong	2871				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication appr r Reply	pears on the cover she	et with the correspondence address				
THE N - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failui - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Issions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to treply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	136(a) In no event, however, m ly within the statutory minimum o will apply and will expire SIX (6) e, cause the application to becor	ay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ne ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)[_	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07</u> .	July 2000 .					
2a)	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	nis action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)[_	Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)	Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[_	Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	lection requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9) 🗌 -	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)	Γhe drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)∏ acce	pted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in a	beyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11) 🔲 🗀	The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a) ☐ approved b)	disapproved by the Examiner.				
_	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re						
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer.					
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S	.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received	in Application No				
* S	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Busee the attached detailed Office action for a list	ireau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	·					
а) The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	ovisional application ha	as been received.				
Attachmen	•	, ,					
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) Notic	view Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) e of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/611,846 Page 2

Art Unit: 2871

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-6, 8, and 9, drawn to a liquid crystal display (LCD), classified in class 349, subclass 155.
 - II. Claim 7, drawn to a method of fabricating a LCD, classified in class 349, subclass 187.

Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the LCD can be made by either a method in which the spacer and the protrusion structure are formed at different steps or a method without the step of forming a pillar-shaped spacer.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

- 2. Group I contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:
 - IA. Claim 1 drawn to a LCD according to Figs. 1 and 2a;
 - IB. Claims 2-4 drawn to a LCD according to Figs. 2b, 3, and 4;

Application/Control Number: 09/611,846

Art Unit: 2871

IC. Claim 5 drawn to a LCD according to Figs. 8A, 8B, and 9;

ID. Claim 6 drawn to a LCD according to Figs 17a, 17b;

IE. Claim 8 drawn to a LCD according to Figs. 23a, 25;

IF. Claim 9 drawn to a LCD according to Fig. 27.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, none of the claims is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over

Application/Control Number: 09/611,846

Art Unit: 2871

Page 4

the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

- 3. A telephone call was made to Patrick G. Burns, Attorney for Applicant, on 02/27/2002 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.
- 4. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Thoi V. Duong at telephone number (703) 308-3171.

Thoi Duong

03/25/2002

Helbau L Leks